

SOME EMBEDDINGS INTO THE TOTAL MORREY SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DUNKL OPERATOR ON THE REAL LINE

Y.Y. Mammadov¹, F.A. Muslumova²

¹Nakhchivan Teacher-Training Institute, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan

²Department of Informatics, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan

e-mail: yagubmammadov@yahoo.com , fmuslumova@gmail.com

Abstract. On the real line, the Dunkl operators are differential-difference operators associated with the reflection group Z_2 on R . We consider the generalized shift operator, associated with the Dunkl operator

$\Lambda_\alpha(f)(x) = \frac{d}{dx}f(x) + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x} \left(\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2} \right)$. We study some embeddings into

the total Morrey space (D -total Morrey space) $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$, $0 \leq \lambda, \mu < 2\alpha + 2$ associated with the Dunkl operator on R . These spaces generalize the Morrey space associated with the Dunkl operator on R (D -Morrey space) so that $L_{p,\lambda}(R) \equiv L_{p,\lambda,\lambda}(R)$ and the modified Morrey spaces associated with the Dunkl operator on R so that $\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R) \equiv L_{p,\lambda,0}(R)$.

Keywords: Dunkl operator, generalized translation operator, total-Morrey space.

AMS Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25, 42B35.

1. Introduction

On the real line, the Dunkl operators are differential-difference operators introduced in 1989 by Dunkl [1] and are denoted by Λ_α , where α is a real parameter $> -1/2$. These operators are associated with the reflection group Z_2 on R . The Dunkl kernel E_α is used to define the Dunkl transform \mathfrak{F}_α which was introduced by Dunkl in [2]. Rosler in [13] shows that the Dunkl kernels verify a product formula. This allows us to define the Dunkl translation $\tau_x, x \in R$. As a result, we have the Dunkl convolution.

Morrey spaces, introduced by C.B. Morrey [7], play important roles in the regularity theory of PDE, including heat equations and Navier-Stokes equations. In [3] Guliyev introduce a variant of Morrey spaces called total Morrey spaces $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$, $0 < p < \infty, \lambda \in R$ and $\mu \in R$, see also, [10].

In the present work, we give basic properties of the total Morrey space (Dtotal Morrey space) $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$, $0 \leq \lambda, \mu < 2\alpha + 2$ associated with the Dunkl operator on R and study some embeddings into the total Morrey space $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$. These spaces generalize the Morrey space associated with the Dunkl operator on R (D -Morrey space) so that $L_{p,\lambda}(R) \equiv L_{p,\lambda,\lambda}(R)$ and the modified Morrey spaces associated with the Dunkl operator on R so that $\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R) \equiv L_{p,\lambda,0}(R)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some definitions and auxiliary results. In section 3, we give some embeddings into the total D -Morrey spaces.

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq CB$ with some positive constant C independent of appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are equivalent.

2. Preliminaries

For a real parameter $\alpha \geq -1/2$, we consider the Dunkl operator, associated with the reflection group Z_2 on R :

$$\Lambda_\alpha(f)(x) = \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + \frac{2\alpha + 1}{x} \left(\frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \right).$$

Note that $\Lambda_{-1/2} = d/dx$.

For $\alpha \geq -1/2$ and $\lambda \in C$, the initial value problem:

$$\Lambda_\alpha(f)(x) = \lambda f(x), \quad f(0) = 1, \quad x \in R$$

has a unique solution $E_\alpha(\lambda x)$ called Dunkl kernel [1, 11, 14] and given by

$$E_\alpha(\lambda x) = j_\alpha(i\lambda x) + \frac{\lambda x}{2(\alpha + 1)} j_{\alpha+1}(i\lambda x), \quad x \in R,$$

where j_α is the normalized Bessel function of the first kind and order α [15], defined by

$$j_\alpha(z) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \frac{J_\alpha(z)}{z^\alpha} = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}, \quad z \in C.$$

We can write for $x \in R$ and $\lambda \in C$ (see Rösler [13], p. 295)

$$E_\alpha(-i\lambda x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1/2)} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\alpha - 1/2} (1 - t) e^{i\lambda x t} dt.$$

Note that $E_{-1/2}(\lambda x) = e^{\lambda x}$.

Let $\alpha > -1/2$ be a fixed number and μ_α be the weighted Lebesgue measure on R , given by

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) := (2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+1))^{-1} |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx.$$

For every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by $L_p = L_p(d\mu_\alpha)$ the spaces of complex-valued functions f , measurable on R such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} \equiv \|f\|_{L_{p,\alpha}} = \left(\int_R |f(x)|^p d\mu_\alpha(x) \right)^{1/p} < \infty \text{ if } p \in [1, \infty),$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\infty,\alpha} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in R} |f(x)| \text{ if } p = \infty.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote by $WL_{p,\alpha}$, the weak $L_{p,\alpha}$ spaces defined as the set of locally integrable functions $f(x)$, $x \in R$ with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{WL_{p,\alpha}} = \sup_{r>0} r(\mu_\alpha \{x \in R : |f(x)| > r\})^{1/p}.$$

Note that

$$L_{p,\alpha} \subset WL_{p,\alpha} \text{ and } \|f\|_{WL_{p,\alpha}} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\alpha}} \text{ for all } f \in L_{p,\alpha}.$$

The Dunkl kernel gives rise to an integral transform, called Dunkl transform on R , which was introduced and studied in [5].

The Dunkl transform \mathfrak{F}_α of a function $f \in L_{1,\alpha}(R)$, is given by

$$\mathfrak{F}_\alpha f(\lambda) := \int_R E_\alpha(-i\lambda x) f(x) d\mu_\alpha(x), \lambda \in R.$$

Here the integral makes sense since $|E_\alpha(ix)| \leq 1$ for every $x \in R$ [13], p. 295.

Note that $\mathfrak{F}_{-1/2}$ agrees with the Fourier transform \mathfrak{F} , given by:

$$\mathfrak{F}f(\lambda) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_R e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) dx, \lambda \in R$$

Notation. For all $x, y, z \in R$, we put

$$W_\alpha(x, y, z) = (1 - \sigma_{x,y,z} + \sigma_{z,x,y} + \sigma_{z,y,x}) \Delta_\alpha(x, y, z)$$

where

$$\sigma_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 + y^2 - z^2}{2xy} & \text{if } x, y \in R \setminus 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and Δ_α is the Bessel kernel given by

$$\Delta_\alpha(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} d_\alpha \frac{\left((|x| + |y|)^2 - z^2 \left[z^2 - (|x| - |y|)^2 \right] \right)^{\alpha-1/2}}{|xyz|^{2\alpha}} & \text{if } |z| \in A_{x,y}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $d_\alpha = (\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2 / \left(2^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right)$ and $A_{x,y} = [|x| - |y|, |x| + |y|]$.

Properties 2.1. (see Rösler [13]) The signed kernel W_α is even and satisfies the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} W_\alpha(x, y, z) &= W_\alpha(y, x, z) = W_\alpha(-x, z, y), \\ W_\alpha(x, y, z) &= W_\alpha(-z, y, -x) = W_\alpha(-x, -y, -z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |W_\alpha(x, y, z)| d\mu_\alpha(z) \leq 4.$$

In the sequel we consider the signed measure $\nu_{x,y}$ on \mathbb{R} , given by

$$\nu_{x,y} = \begin{cases} W_\alpha(x, y, z) d\mu_\alpha(z) & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0, \\ d\delta_x(z) & \text{if } y = 0, \\ d\delta_y(z) & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. (see Rösler [13]) (i) Let $\alpha > -1/2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The Dunkl kernel E_α satisfies the following product formula:

$$E_\alpha(\lambda x) E_\alpha(\lambda y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_\alpha(\lambda z) d\nu_{x,y}(z), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(ii) The measures $\nu_{x,y}$ have the following properties:

$$su\ pp(\nu_{x,y}) = A_{x,y} \cup (-A_{x,y}), \quad \|\nu_{x,y}\| := \int_{\mathbb{R}} d|\nu_{x,y}|(z) \leq 4.$$

Definition 2.1. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and f a continuous function on \mathbb{R} , we put

$$\tau_x f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d\nu_{x,y}(z).$$

The operators $\tau_x, x \in \mathbb{R}$, are called Dunkl translation operators on \mathbb{R} and it can be expressed in the following form (see ref. [13])

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x f(y) &= C_\alpha \int_0^\pi f_e \left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 2|xy| \cos \theta} \right) h_1(x, y, \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\alpha} d\theta \\ &\quad + C_\alpha \int_0^\pi f_o \left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 2|xy| \cos \theta} \right) h_2(x, y, \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\alpha} d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $f = f_e + f_o$, f_o and f_e being respectively the odd and the even parts of f , with $C_\alpha = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) / (\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1/2))$, $h_1(x, y, \theta) = 1 - \text{sgn}(xy) \cos \theta$ and

$$h_2(x, y, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x+y)[1 - \operatorname{sgn}(xy)\cos\theta]}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 2|xy|\cos\theta}} & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.1. (see Mourou [8]) (i) The operator $\tau_x, x \in R$, is a continuous linear operator from $E(R)$ into itself.

(ii) For all $f \in E(R)$ and $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\tau_x f(y) = \tau_y f(x), \quad \tau_0 f(x) = f(x),$$

$$\tau_x \circ \tau_y = \tau_y \circ \tau_x, \quad \Lambda_\alpha \circ \tau_x = \tau_x \circ \Lambda_\alpha.$$

Proposition 2.2. (see Soltani [12]) (i) If f is an even positive continuous function, then $\tau_x f$ is positive.

(ii) For all $x \in R$ the operator τ_x extends to $L_{p,\alpha}(R), p \geq 1$ and we have for $f \in L_{p,\alpha}(R)$,

$$\|\tau_x f\|_{p,\alpha} \leq 4\|f\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

(ii) For all $x, \lambda \in R$ and $f \in L_{1,\alpha}(R)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{I}_\alpha(\tau_x f)(\lambda) = E_\alpha(i\lambda x)\mathfrak{I}_\alpha f(\lambda).$$

Let $B(x, t) = \{y \in R : |y| \in]\max\{0, |x| - t\}, |x| + t[\}$ and $t > 0$. Then

$$B(0, t) =]-t, t[\text{ and } \mu_\alpha(]-t, t[) = (2^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{-1} t^{2\alpha+2}.$$

3. Some embeddings into the total D -Morrey spaces

Definition 3.1. [6] Let $1 \leq p < \infty, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$ and $[t]_1 = \min\{1, t\}, t > 0$. We denote by $L_{p,\lambda}(R)$ Morrey space ($\equiv D$ -Morrey space), by $\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)$ the modified Morrey space (\equiv modified D -Morrey space), associated with the Dunkl operator [6, 9] and by $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$ Morrey space (\equiv total D -Morrey space) as the set of locally integrable functions $f(x), x \in R$, with the finite norms

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)} := \sup_{x \in R, t > 0} \left(t^{-\lambda} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)} := \sup_{x \in R, t > 0} \left([t]_1^{-\lambda} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} := \sup_{x \in R, t > 0} \left([t]_1^{-\lambda} [1/t]_1^\mu \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p},$$

respectively.

If $\min\{\lambda, \mu\} < 0$ or $\max\{\lambda, \mu\} > 2\alpha + 2$, then $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) = \Theta(R)$, where $\Theta(R)$ is the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on R .

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,0,0}(R) &= \tilde{L}_{p,0}(R) = L_{p,0}(R) = L_p(R), \\ L_{p,\lambda,\lambda}(R) &= L_{p,\lambda}(R), L_{p,\lambda,0}(R) = \tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R) \\ L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) &\subset_{>} L_{p,\lambda}(R) \text{ and } \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) \subset_{>} L_{p,\mu}(R) \text{ and } \|f\|_{L_{p,\mu}(R)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.2. [4] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$. We denote by $WL_{p,\lambda}(R)$ weak D -Morrey space, by $W\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)$ the modified weak D -Morrey space [6, 9] and by $WL_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$ weak total D -Morrey space as the set of locally integrable functions $f(x)$, $x \in R$ with finite norms

$$\|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda}(R)} := \sup_{r>0} r \sup_{x \in R, t>0} \left(t^{-\lambda} \mu_\alpha \{y \in B(0,t) : \tau_x |f|(y) > r\} \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\|f\|_{W\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)} := \sup_{r>0} r \sup_{x \in R, t>0} \left([t]_1^{-\lambda} \mu_\alpha \{y \in B(0,t) : \tau_x |f|(y) > r\} \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\|f\|_{W\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)} := \sup_{r>0} r \sup_{x \in R, t>0} \left([t]_1^{-\lambda} [1/t]_1^\mu \mu_\alpha \{y \in B(0,t) : \tau_x |f|(y) > r\} \right)^{1/p},$$

respectively.

We note that

$$L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) \subset WL_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) \text{ and } \|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)}.$$

Lemma 3.1. If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 2\alpha + 2$, then

$$L_{p,2\alpha+2,\mu}(R) \subset_{>} L_\infty(R) \subset_{>} L_{p,\lambda,2\alpha+2}(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,2\alpha+2}(R)} \leq b_k^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_\infty(R)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,2\alpha+2,\mu}(R)}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_\infty(R)$. Then for all $x \in R$ and $0 < t \leq 1$

$$\left(t^{-\lambda} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f(y)|^p d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq b_\alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$$

and for all $x \in R$ and $t \geq 1$

$$\left(t^{-2\alpha-2} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f(y)|^p d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq b_\alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_\infty}.$$

Therefore $f \in L_{p,\lambda,2\alpha+2}(R)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,2\alpha+2}(R)} \leq b_\alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_\infty}.$$

Let $f \in L_{p,2\alpha+2,\mu}(R)$. By the Lebesgue's differentiation theorem we have (see [9, Section 2, Corollary 2.2])

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu_\alpha(B(0,t))^{-1} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f(y)|^p d\mu_\alpha(y) = |f(x)|^p \quad a.e. \quad x \in R.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu_\alpha(B(0,t))^{-1} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f(y)|^p d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq b_\alpha^{1/p} \left(t^{-2\alpha-2} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f(y)|^p d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq b_\alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_{p,2\alpha+2,\mu}(R)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f \in L_\infty(R)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty(R)} \leq b_\alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_{p,2\alpha+2,\mu}(R)}.$$

Corollary 3.1. If $0 < p < \infty$ then

$$L_{p,2\alpha+2}(R) = \tilde{L}_{p,2\alpha+2}(R) = L_\infty(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,2\alpha+2}(R)} = \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_{p,2\alpha+2}(R)} = b_\alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_\infty(R)}.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 2\alpha + 2$. Then

$$L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) = L_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap L_{p,\mu}(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} = \max \left\{ \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{L_{p,\mu}(R)} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$. Then by (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) \subset_{\succ} L_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap L_{p,\mu}(R)$$

and

$$\max \left\{ \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{L_{p,\mu}(R)} \right\} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)}.$$

Let $f \in L_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap L_{p,\mu}(R)$. Then by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} &= \sup_{x \in R, t > 0} \left([t]_i^{-\lambda} [1/t]_i^\mu \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in R, 0 < t \leq 1} \left(t^{-\lambda} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sup_{x \in R, t > 1} \left(t^{-\mu} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{L_{p,\mu}(R)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f \in L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$ and the embedding $L_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap L_{p,\mu}(R) \subset_{\succ} L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$ is valid.

Thus $L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) = L_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap L_{p,\mu}(R)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} = \max\left\{\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{L_{p,\mu}(R)}\right\}.$$

Corollary 3.1. [9, Lemma 3.2] If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$, then

$$\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R) = L_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap L_p(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)} = \max\left\{\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{L_p(R)}\right\}.$$

From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 for $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have

$$\tilde{L}_{p,2\alpha+2}(R) = L_\infty(R) \cap L_p(R).$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 2\alpha + 2$. Then

$$WL_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) = WL_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap WL_{p,\mu}(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} = \max\left\{\|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{WL_{p,\mu}(R)}\right\}.$$

Corollary 3.2. If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$, then

$$W\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R) = WL_{p,\lambda}(R) \cap WL_p(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{W\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)} = \max\left\{\|f\|_{WL_{p,\lambda}(R)}, \|f\|_{WL_p(R)}\right\}.$$

Remark 3.1. If $0 < p < \infty$, and $\min\{\lambda, \mu\} < 0$ or $\max\{\lambda, \mu\} > 2\alpha + 2$, then

$$L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) = WL_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) = \Theta(R).$$

Lemma 3.4. If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2\alpha + 2$ and $0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq 2\alpha + 2$, then

$$L_{p,\lambda_1,\mu_1}(R) \subset_{>} L_{p,\lambda_2,\mu_2}(R)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda_2,\mu_2}(R)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda_1,\mu_1}(R)}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2\alpha + 2$,

$0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq 2\alpha + 2$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda_2,\mu_2}(R)} = \max\left\{\sup_{x \in R, 0 < t \leq 1} \left(t^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} t^{-\lambda_1} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y)\right)^{1/p}, \sup_{x \in R, t \geq 1} \left(t^{\mu_1 - \mu_2} t^{-\mu_1} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y)\right)^{1/p}\right\} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda_1,\mu_1}^d}.$$

On the total D -Morrey spaces the following embedding is valid.

Lemma 3.5. Let $0 \leq \lambda < 2\alpha + 2$, $0 \leq \mu < 2\alpha + 2$, $0 \leq \beta_1 < 2\alpha + 2 - \lambda$ and

$0 \leq \beta_2 < 2\alpha + 2 - \mu$. Then for $\frac{2\alpha + 2 - \lambda}{\beta_1} \leq p \leq \frac{2\alpha + 2 - \mu}{\beta_2}$

$$L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R) \subset_{>} L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta_1,2\alpha+2-\beta_2}(R)$$

and for $f \in L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$ the following inequality

$$\|f\|_{L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta_1,2\alpha+2-\beta_2}(R)} \leq b_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)}$$

is valid.

Proof. Let $0 < \lambda \leq 2\alpha + 2$, $0 \leq \mu < 2\alpha + 2$, $0 < \beta_1 < 2\alpha + 2 - \lambda$,

$0 < \beta_2 < 2\alpha + 2 - \mu$, $f \in L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)$ and $\frac{2\alpha + 2 - \lambda}{\beta_1} \leq p \leq \frac{2\alpha + 2 - \mu}{\beta_2}$. By

the Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta_1,2\alpha+2-\beta_2}(R)} &\leq \sup_{x \in R, t > 0} \left([t]_1^{\beta_1 - 2\alpha - 2} [1/t]_1^{\beta_2 + 2\alpha + 2} \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right) \\ &\leq b_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p'}} \sup_{x \in R, t > 0} \left([t]_1 t^{-1} \right)^{-(2\alpha+2)/p'} [t]_1^{\beta_1} \frac{2\alpha+2-\lambda}{p} \left([t]_1^{-\lambda} [1/t]_1^\mu \int_{B(0,t)} \tau_x |f|^p(y) d\mu_\alpha(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq b_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)} \sup_{t > 0} \left([t]_1 t^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha+2-\mu}{p} - \beta_2} [t]_1^{\beta_1} \frac{2\alpha+2-\lambda}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sup_{t > 0} \left([t]_1 t^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha+2-\mu}{p} - \beta_2} [t]_1^{\beta_1} \frac{2\alpha+2-\lambda}{p} = \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} t^{\beta_1 - \frac{2\alpha+2-\lambda}{p}}, \sup_{t > 1} t^{\beta_2 - \frac{2\alpha+2-\mu}{p}} \right\} < \infty$$

if and only if $\frac{2\alpha + 2 - \lambda}{\beta_1} \leq p \leq \frac{2\alpha + 2 - \mu}{\beta_2}$.

Therefore $f \in L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta_1,2\alpha+2-\beta_2}(R)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta_1,2\alpha+2-\beta_2}(R)} \leq b_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda,\mu}(R)}.$$

Corollary 3.3. [9, Lemma 3.4] Let $0 \leq \lambda < 2\alpha + 2$ and $0 \leq \beta < 2\alpha + 2 - \lambda$. Then

for $p = \frac{2\alpha + 2 - \lambda}{\beta}$

$$L_{p,\lambda}(R) \subset_{\succ} L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta}(R)$$

and for $f \in L_{p,\lambda}(R)$ the following inequality

$$\|f\|_{L_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta}(R)} \leq b_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}$$

is valid.

Corollary 3.4. [9, Lemma 3.5] Let $0 \leq \lambda < 2\alpha + 2$ and $0 \leq \beta < 2\alpha + 2 - \lambda$. Then

for $\frac{2\alpha + 2 - \lambda}{\beta} \leq p \leq \frac{2\alpha + 2 - \mu}{\beta}$

$$\tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R) \subset_{\succ} \tilde{L}_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta}(R)$$

and for $f \in \tilde{L}_{p,\lambda}(R)$ the following inequality

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_{1,2\alpha+2-\beta}(R)} \leq b_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_{p,\lambda}(R)}$$

is valid.

4. Acknowledgements

The authors express their thank to the referees for carefully reading, helpful comments and suggestions on the manuscript of this paper.

References

1. Dunkl C.F. Integral kernels with reflection group invariance, *Can. J. Math.*, V.43, 1991, pp.1213-1227.
2. Dunkl C.F. Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups, *Contemp. Math.*, V.138, 1992, pp.123-138.
3. Guliyev V.S. Maximal commutator and commutator of maximal function on total Morrey spaces, *J. Math. Inequal.*, V.16, N.4, 2022, pp.1509-1524.
4. Guliyev V.S., Mammadov Y.Y. On fractional maximal function and fractional integral associated with the Dunkl operator on the real line, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, V.353, N.1, 2009, pp.449-459.
5. de Jeu M.F.E. The Dunkl transform, *Invent. Math.*, V.113, 1993, pp.147-162.
6. Mammadov Y.Y. Some embeddings into the Morrey spaces associated with the Dunkl operator on R . *Proc. of NASA, Embedding theorems, Harmonic analysis*, N.13, 2007, pp.258-269.
7. Morrey C.B. On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, V.43, 1938, pp.126-166.
8. Mourou M.A. Transmutation operators associated with a Dunkl-type differential-difference operator on the real line and certain of their applications, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, V.12, N.1, 2001, pp.77-88.
9. Muslumova F.A. On embeddings into the Morrey and modified Morrey spaces in the Dunkl setting, *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci., Mathematics*, V.40, N.1, 2020, pp.135-145.
10. Omarova M.N. Commutator of anisotropic maximal function with BMO functions on anisotropic total Morrey spaces, *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci., Mathematics*, V.43, N.1, 2023, pp.1-15.
11. Sifi M., Soltani F. Generalized Fock spaces and Weyl relations for the Dunkl kernel on the real line, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, V.270, 2002, pp.92-106.
12. Soltani F. L_p -Fourier multipliers for the Dunkl operator on the real line, *J. Funct. Anal.*, V.209, 2004, pp.16-35.

13. Rösler M. Bessel-type signed hypergroups on R , in: H. Heyer, A. Mukherjea (Eds.), Probability Measures on Groups and Related Structures XI, Proceedings of Oberwolfach, 1994, pp.292-304.
14. Trimeche K. Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform and Dunkl translation operators, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., V.13, 2002, pp.17-38.
15. Watson G.N. A Treatise on Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.